

## APPENDIX D

# ENVIRONMENT AND FOOD PRODUCTION AREAS REVIEW

### Summary of EFPA Submissions Received

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
1*	1	EFPA	Landholder	11 Cup Gum Grove, Heathfield	Request to remove the EFPA to enable residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
2**	2	EFPA	Landholder	Lot 100 Burnside Road, Strathalbyn	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
3*	n/a	EFPA	Council of Yankalilla	General comments	General comments regarding clarity and definitions, and guidance in relation to the EFPA policy and legislation.	This submission was provided during the initial consultation of the Code in 2018 and is therefore out of context with the current EFPA review.
4*	4	EFPA	Landholder	Land adjacent Sturt Highway, Scott Road and Kemp Road, Kingsford	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
5*	5	EFPA	Landholder	Lot 23 / 120 Alexander Avenue, Bibaringa	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
6*	6	EFPA	Adelaide Hills Council	'Wairoa' and surrounding land in the Community Facilities Zone at 142 Mount Barker Road, Aldgate	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
7*	7	EFPA	District Council of Yankalilla	71 Hutchinson Street, Myponga	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
8*	8	EFPA	Adelaide Plains Council	Land contained within the Rural Living Zone, Employment Zone, Employment (Bulk Handling) Zone at Mallala	Proposal seeks the removal of the EFPA to improve alignment with Zone policy frameworks.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
9**	9	EFPA	Community member	1 Beavis Court, Gumeracha	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential / tourist development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
10*	10	EFPA	Landholder	Lots 1, 2, 50 and 51 Wilson Road, Two Wells	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
11**	11	EFPA	Adelaide Plains Council	26 Angove Road, Dublin	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
12*	n/a	EFPA	Parks & Leisure SA / NT	General comments	Requests the development of separate 'protection' frameworks for areas of food production vs areas of environmental value.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
13**	13	EFPA	Landholder	Lot 209 Yorktown Road, One Tree Hill	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
14**	14	EFPA	Landholder	'Narnu East' – 7 lots adjoining Grundy Road, Barton Road, Pullen Road and Sheoak Lane, Hindmarsh Island	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
15	15	EFPA	Landholder	Lot 5 Lamuli Street, Fairview Park	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
16**	16	EFPA	Landholder	51 and 53 Hume Reserve Road, Murray Bridge	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
17*	17	EFPA	Landholder	Goolwa Airfield and associated lands at Goolwa	Request to remove the EFPA to enable residential airpark development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
18**	18	EFPA	Landholder	1118A and 1118B Port Elliot Road, Middleton	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
19**	19	EFPA	Landholder	49-51 Cricklewood Road, Aldgate	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
20**	20	EFPA	Landholder	Allotments 37 – 49 and 50-78 Mundoo Channel Drive, Hindmarsh Island Allotments 08 -120 Sugars Avenue, Hindmarsh Island	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Note - where there is existing residential development, the EFPA may not prevent subdivision.
21*	21	EFPA	Landholder	16 Wakefield Road, Pages Flat (also known as Range Road West, Pages Flat)	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
22	22	EFPA	Landholder	Jagger Road, Encounter Bay (at intersection with Three Gullies Road)	Request to remove the EFPA to provide consistency with adjoining land under the same residential policy framework.	<b>Amend</b> – remove the EFPA from the subject land to provide consistency with the broader existing residential policy framework.
23*	23	EFPA	Community member	485 Old Princes Highway, Nairne	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
24*	24	EFPA	Community member	'Glenthorne Farm' Majors Road, O'Halloran Hill	Request to apply the EFPA to exclude potential rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
25*	25	EFPA	Community member	Land at Buchfelde	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential / commercial development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
26	26	EFPA	Landholder	157 Barton Road, Hindmarsh Island	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
27*	27	EFPA	Landholder	'Parilla', 17 Arkaba Road, Aldgate	Request to apply the EFPA to exclude potential residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
28*	28	EFPA	Landholder	4 Ocean Road, Chiton 1, 3 and 5 Fringe-Lily Place Chiton	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning.	No change – retention of existing EFPA will not interfere with existing Community Facilities policy framework. Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
29	29	EFPA	Landholder	Two Wells - allotments bound by Temby Road, Seacomb Road, Sharpe Road and Mallala Road / the Adelaide-Port Augusta railway corridor.	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
30*	30	EFPA	Attorney-General's Department – Planning and Land Use Services	Land at Murray Bridge - Mobilong Prison and surrounding areas in the Infrastructure Zone and southern portion of the Deferred Urban Zone	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and / or residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
31*	31	EFPA	Community member	75 Cave Avenue, Bridgewater	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
32**	32	EFPA	Landholder	Old Bull Creek Road, Strathalbyn	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
33	n/a	EFPA	Community member	General comments	Seeks clarification regarding role and impacts of the EFPA.	No change - further information provided to submitter to better understand role and impacts of EFPA.
34	34	EFPA	Landholder	Land bounded by Nilpena Road, Mannum Road, Doyle Road and Toora Road at Northern Heights.	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
35	n/a	EFPA	Fraser Ellis, MP Member for Narungga	General comments	Proposal that the EFPA be expanded to all regional areas to protect all valuable food producing and environmental areas.	No change - further information provided to submitter to better understand role and impacts of EFPA.
--	n/a	<i>This line is intentionally blank^</i>				
37	37	EFPA	Landholder	1387 Angle Vale Road, Virginia	Request to remove EFPA to enable subdivision.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
38	38	EFPA	Landholder	Queen Louisa Drive / Long Flat Road, Long Flat	Request to remove EFPA to enable residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
39	n/a	EFPA	Crop Science Society of SA	General comments	Requests that the EFPA be strengthened to protect food production areas.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
40**	40	EFPA	Landholder	479 Dean Jaensch Road, Toora	Request to remove EFPA to enable residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Note - where there is existing residential development, the EFPA may not prevent subdivision.
41	41	EFPA	Community member	264 Boundary Road, Fischer 236 Boundary Road, Fischer 21 Bache Road, Fischer	Request to remove EFPA to enable residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
42	42	EFPA	Landholder	3 Wittwer Court, Hahndorf	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
43A**	43A	EFPA	City of Victor Harbor	43 Wallman Street, Yankalilla	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
43B**	43B	EFPA		'Manor Farm', 11 Mary Street, Yankalilla	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential / commercial development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
44A	44A	EFPA		General comments	Seeks consideration of Council strategic directions and improved regional growth characteristics. Queries the scope of the Land Supply Report underpinning the Review.	Matters of strategic alignment and strategic planning are considered outside of the scope of this review, however, may be considered in future reviews at the discretion of the Commission. The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
44B	44B	EFPA		1-10/124 Franklin Parade, Encounter Bay	Request to remove EFPA to be consistent with existing development / land uses.	<b>Amend</b> – remove the EFPA from the subject land to reflect its (fully developed) tourism land uses.
44C	44C	EFPA		Jagger Road, Encounter Bay (at intersection with Three Gullies Road)	Request to remove the EFPA for consistency with adjoining residential zoned land.	<b>Amend</b> – remove the EFPA from the subject land to provide consistency with the broader existing residential policy framework.
44D	44D	EFPA		384 Greenhills Road, Hindmarsh Valley 21 and 29 Panorama Drive, Hindmarsh Valley	Request to amend the EFPA to be consistent with property boundary.	<b>Amend</b> – amend the EFPA boundary to follow cadastre boundary and provide policy certainty.
44E	44E	EFPA		Lot 1 Granite Island Road, Victor Harbor	Request to amend the EFPA.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
44F	44F	EFPA		Lot 40 Waterport Road, Hindmarsh Valley 15 Lincoln Park Drive, Hindmarsh Valley 13 Lincoln Road, Hindmarsh Valley Hindmarsh Valley	Request to amend the EFPA boundary to enable rezoning and subdivision for employment purposes.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
45	45	EFPA	Landholder	115 Pullen Road, Hindmarsh Island	Notes support for the retention of the existing EFPA boundaries as it applies to Hindmarsh Island.	Noted and is addressed via the outcomes of the Review.
46	n/a	EFPA	Local Government Association of SA	General comments	Notes recent changes in population / residential development that are not reflected in the Land Supply Report that underpins the EFPA Review. Also notes that the Land Supply Report does not reflect local population / residential development patterns.	The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
47	n/a	EFPA	Horse SA	General comments	Seeks improved alignment between the EFPA framework and rural living policy frameworks.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
48**	n/a	EFPA	Landholder	General comments	Requests a detailed review of the Hills Face Zone and associated anomalies.	A review of the Hills Face Zone is outside of the scope of this EFPA Review.
49	49	EFPA	Landholder	1121 Horrocks Highway, Templers	Request to remove the EFPA to enable residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
50**	50	EFPA	Landholder	Lots 21 and 43 Bache Road, Fischer	Request to remove the EFPA to enable residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
51**	51	EFPA	Landholder	68 Mayes Road, Virginia	Request to remove the EFPA to enable residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
52**	52	EFPA	Landholder	99 Boettcher Road, Middleton	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a</i>

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
						<i>recognised anomaly</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
53	n/a	EFPA	City of Tea Tree Gully	General comments	Notes that there are no EFPA anomalies in the Council area.	Noted.
54A	n/a	EFPA	Adelaide Plains Council	General comments	Notes that the EFPA generally aligns with Council's strategic directions.	Noted.
54B	n/a	EFPA		General comments	Seeks improved alignment between the EFPA framework and rural living policy frameworks.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
54C	54C	EFPA		Land in the vicinity of Port Wakefield Road at Two Wells	Requests removal of the EFPA over land zoned for employment purposes.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
55	55	EFPA	Landholder	1387 Angle Vale Road, Virginia	Request to remove the EFPA to enable subdivision.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
56**	56	EFPA	Landholder	7 Miami Boulevard, Middleton	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
57	57	EFPA	Landholder	86 and 99 Boettcher Road, Middleton 7 Miami Boulevard, Middleton 1118A and 1118B Port Elliot Road, Middleton 1226 Port Elliot Road, Middleton 1272 Port Elliot Road, Middleton 66A Newell Avenue, Middleton Land on Andrew Avenue, Middleton Land on Golf View Road, Goolwa Beach Land on Keightley Road, Goolwa Beach Land on Boulton Street, Goolwa	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.



Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
58	58	EFPA	Landholder	13 Willyaroo Road, Willyaroo	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
59**	59	EFPA	Landholder	95 Sand Mine Road, Mount Compass	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
60**	60	EFPA	Landholder	358 Mount Magnificent Road, Mount Magnificent	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
61	n/a	EFPA	District Council of Mount Barker	General comments	Notes recent changes in population / residential development that are not reflected in the Land Supply Report that underpins the EFPA Review.	The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
62**	54C	EFPA	Landholder	10 - 12 Waterport Road, Chiton 247 - 253 Waterport Road, Chiton 259 - 261 Waterport Road, Chiton 263 - 267 Waterport Road, Chiton 269 - 289 Waterport Road, Chiton 9 Mentone Road, Chiton 10 Mentone Road, Chiton 24 Mentone Road, Chiton 41 Mentone Road, Chiton 105 Brickyard Road, Chiton 860 Brickyard Road, Chiton	Request to amend rural living policy framework in relation to land under the EFPA.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
63	63	EFPA	Landholder	‘Lincoln Park Farm’ 15 Lincoln Park Drive, Hindmarsh Valley	Request to amend the EFPA boundary to enable rezoning and subdivision for employment purposes.	No change – does not qualify as <i>‘trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly’</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
64**	64	EFPA	Landholder	Shack 60, Mundoo Channel Drive, Hindmarsh Island	Request to remove the EFPA to enable residential subdivision.	No change – where there is existing residential development, the EFPA does not prevent subdivision.
65	65	EFPA	Landholder	Lot 487/ 196 Hayman Road, Lewiston	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	Not considered trivial and anomalous.
66**	66	EFPA	Landholder	Lot 2 Riverside Drive, Second Valley	Request to amend the EFPA boundary to enable boundary amendment.	No change – where there is existing residential development, the EFPA does not prevent subdivision.
67**	67	EFPA	Landholder	1066 Greenhill Road, Summertown	Request to amend the EFPA boundary to enable residential subdivision.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Note - where there is existing residential development, the EFPA may not prevent subdivision.
68	68	EFPA & CPD	Landholder	Land at Concordia / General comments	Notes recent changes in population / residential development are not reflected in the Land Supply Report that underpins the EFPA Review.	The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
69A	69A	CPD	Light Regional Council	Character Preservation District Submission: 130-138 Moppa Road South, Nuriootpa 94 Moppa Road South, Nuriootpa 104 Moppa Road South, Nuriootpa	Submissions seeks amendment to land under the Barossa Character Protection District.	Out of scope of the Review.
69B	69B	EFPA		Land at Buchfelde (all land west of the Gawler Bypass)	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential / commercial development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
69C	69C	EFPA		Land at Sturt Highway, Scott Road, Kemp Road, Kingsford	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
69D	69D	EFPA		Settlements of Templers and Daveyston	Request for review of rural settlements under the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
70A	n/a	EFPA	Adelaide Hills Council	General comments	Seeks ability for minor EFPA boundary amendments outside of 5-yearly review. Requests exemption for support of particular tourist accommodations under the EFPA. Also notes that the Land Supply Report does not reflect local population / residential development patterns.	The request for amendments to the EFPA policy framework does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
70B	70B	EFPA		1 Beavis Court, Gumeracha	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential / tourist development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
70C	70C	EFPA		'Wairoa' and surrounding lands in the Community Facilities Zone 142 Mount Barker Road, Aldgate	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning to a residential policy framework.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
71A	71A	EFPA	Yankalilla District Council	General comments	Notes recent changes in population / residential development that are not reflected in the Land Supply Report that underpins the EFPA Review. Also notes that the Land Supply Report does not reflect local population / residential development patterns.	The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
71B	71B	EFPA		956 Forktree Road, Carrickalinga	Seeks clarification regarding application of EFPA over part of land.	No change - existing EFPA boundary confirmed as appropriate. Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not]...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
71C	71C	EFPA		Lot 516 Carrickalinga Road, Normanville	Seeks clarification regarding application of EFPA over part of land.	No change - existing EFPA boundary confirmed as appropriate. Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does</i>

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
						not]... <i>address a recognised anomaly</i> ' per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
72A	72A	EFPA	Alexandrina Council	4 Ocean Road, Chiton 1, 3 and 5 Fringe-Lily Place Chiton	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning.	No change – does not qualify as ' <i>trivial in nature and</i> [does not]... <i>address a recognised anomaly</i> ' per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Noted that the EFPA will not prevent non-residential development, in line with existing policy framework.
72B	72B	EFPA		8 Barker Road, Hindmarsh Island 435, 439, 455 and 465 Randell Road, Hindmarsh Island	Request to remove the EFPA to be consistent with adjoining rural living policy framework.	No change - existing EFPA boundary confirmed as appropriate. Request does not qualify as ' <i>trivial in nature and</i> [does not]... <i>address a recognised anomaly</i> ' per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
72C	72C	EFPA		167 Langhorne Creek Road, Strathalbyn	Requests removal of EFPA for consistency with recreational policy framework.	No change - retention of existing EFPA will not interfere with policy framework. Request does not qualify as ' <i>trivial in nature and</i> [does not]... <i>address a recognised anomaly</i> ' per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
72D	72D	EFPA	Alexandrina Council	Waterport Road, Brickyard Road and an unnamed road, Chiton	Request to amend rural living policy framework in relation to land under the EFPA.	No change – does not qualify as ' <i>trivial in nature and</i> [does not] ... <i>address a recognised anomaly</i> ' per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
72E	72E	EFPA		118A & 118B Port Elliot Road, Middleton	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as ' <i>trivial in nature and</i> [does not] ... <i>address a recognised anomaly</i> ' per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
72F	n/a	EFPA		General comments	Seeks review of the application of the EFPA over rural living allotments and their relevance in food production.	The request for amendments to the EFPA policy framework as it applies to rural living areas does not qualify as ' <i>trivial in nature and</i> [does not]... <i>address a recognised anomaly</i> ' per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
73**	73	EFPA	Landholder	51 Falkenburg Road, Golden Grove 33 Falkenburg Road, Golden Grove 3 Falkenburg Road, Golden Grove 195 One Tree Hill Road, Golden Grove 202 One Tree Hill Road, Golden Grove 192 One Tree Hill Road, Golden Grove 143 Crouch Road, Golden Grove 161 Crouch Road, Golden Grove	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Amendments affecting the Hills Face Zone require additional consideration.
74	n/a	EFPA	Housing Industry Association (HIA)	General comments	Notes opposition to urban growth boundaries such as the EFPA and does not support the findings of the Land Supply Report which underpins the EFPA review.	Noted. The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
75	n/a	EFPA	Master Builders Association SA (MBA)	General comments	Notes recent changes in population / residential development that are not reflected in the Land Supply Report that underpins the EFPA Review and does not support the findings of the Report.	The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
76**	76	EFPA	Landholder	Land at the intersection of Ocean Road, Glenford Gully Road and Lines Road, Middleton	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
77	77	EFPA	Landholder	Lot 100 Gawler Road, Lewiston	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
78**	78	EFPA	Landholder	Land at Nashwauk Crescent / Commercial Road, Moana	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
79	79	EFPA	Landholder	Allotments 15 and 20 (part) Newman Road, Charleston	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
80	n/a	EFPA	Urban Development Institute of Australia SA (UDIA)	General comments	Notes recent changes in population / residential development that are not reflected in the Land Supply Report that underpins the EFPA Review. Also notes that the Land Supply Report does not reflect local population / residential development patterns.	The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
81	n/a	EFPA & CPD	SA Wine Industry Association Inc.	General comments	Notes importance of EFPA in protecting food / wine production areas and supports the retention of existing boundaries.	Noted.
--	n/a	<i>This line is intentionally blank^</i>				
83**	83	EFPA	Landholder	451 Myponga Beach Road, Myponga Beach	Request to remove the EFPA (as part of a land swap) to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
84	n/a	EFPA	Gawler Council	General comments	Notes support for the review and confirms that it has no known anomalies for review in the Council area.	Noted.
85A**	85A	EFPA	Rural City of Murray Bridge	'Sturt Reserve', Sturt Reserve Road, Murray Bridge	Requests removal of EFPA for consistency with recreational policy framework.	No change - retention of existing EFPA will not interfere with existing policy framework / land uses. Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85B**	85B	EFPA		Various - shack sites in Murray Bridge township	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential subdivision / development.	No change – Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Note - where there is existing residential development, the EFPA may not prevent subdivision.
85C**	85C	EFPA		Murray Bridge Marina and Caravan Park	Requests removal of EFPA for consistency with recreational / tourist policy framework.	No change – Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a</i>

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
				100 Roper Road, Murray Bridge		<i>recognised anomaly</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Retention of existing EFPA will not interfere with existing policy framework.
85D**	85D	EFPA		Land at Queen Louisa Drive / Long Flat Road, Long Flat	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85E**	85E	EFPA		Various river-front shack sites at: i Monteith ii White Sands iii Greenbanks iv Sunnyside v Murrawong vi Willowbanks vii Woodlane viii Jaensch Beach	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential subdivision / development.	No change – Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Note - where there is existing residential development, the EFPA may not prevent subdivision.
85F**	85F	EFPA		479 Dean Jaensch Road, Toora	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Note - where there is existing residential development, the EFPA may not prevent subdivision.
85G**	85G	EFPA		Land bounded by Nilpena Road, Mannum Road, Doyle Road and Toora Road at Northern Heights.	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85H**	85H	EFPA		Land bound by Netley Road, Bidmore Road, Mannum Highway / Cyprus Terrace at Northern Heights	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
85I**	85I	EFPA		51 and 53 Hume Reserve Road, Murray Bridge	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85J**	85J	EFPA		Land at Jervois	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85K**	85K	EFPA		Land at Callington	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85L**	85L	EFPA		Land at Wellington	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85M**	85M	EFPA		Land at Monarto	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85N**	85N	EFPA		12 lots bound by Hannaford Terrace, Green Street, Robin Street, William Street and Hall Street at Mypolonga	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85O**	85O	EFPA		Land at Sunnyside	Requests removal of EFPA for consistency with rural living policy framework.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85P**	85P	EFPA		Land at Murray Bridge East (in the Recreation Zone)	Requests removal of EFPA for consistency with recreational policy framework.	No change – retention of existing EFPA will not interfere with existing recreation policy framework. Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.



Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
85Q**	85Q	EFPA		Land at Murray Bridge East (in the Employment Zone)	Requests removal of EFPA for consistency with employment policy framework.	No change – retention of existing EFPA will not interfere with existing employment policy framework. Request does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not]...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
85R**	85R	EFPA		Land at Chapman Bore, Kepa and Ettrick	Seeks removal of EFPA to enable greater flexibility of land use activities.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
86**	86	EFPA	Landholder	84 Davey road, Carrickalinga	Request to remove the EFPA to enable rezoning and residential development.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not] ...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016.
87A	87A	EFPA	City of Mitcham	Multiple residential properties in both the Hills Face Zone and Housing Neighbourhood Zone: 25 Lisburne Avenue, Mitcham 2A Centre Way, Belair 2C Centre Way, Belair 3 Hamilton Lane, Belair 24 Northbrook Avenue, Mitcham 38 Lisburne Avenue, Mitcham 13-15 Weemala Drive, Mitcham	Seeks amendment to the EFPA boundary to follow residential property boundaries.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not]...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Amendments that affect the Hills Face Zone require strategic investigation and review.
87B	87B	EFPA	City of Mitcham	'Mira Monte' 5 Mt Barker Road, Urrbrae	Seeks amendment to the EFPA boundary to follow residential property boundaries.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not]...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Amendments that affect the Hills Face Zone require strategic investigation and review.
87C	87C	EFPA		57 Birksgate Drive, Urrbrae	Seeks amendment to the EFPA boundary to follow residential property boundaries.	No change – does not qualify as <i>'trivial in nature and [does not]...address a recognised anomaly'</i> per section 7(3)(b) of the PDI Act 2016. Amendments that affect

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
						the Hills Face Zone require strategic investigation and review.
88	n/a	EFPA	City of Playford	General comments	Notes no known EFPA anomalies for review in the Council area.	Noted.
89	n/a	EFPA	Murraylands and Riverland Landscape Board	General comments	Notes support for the review and urges ongoing protection of food production areas.	Noted.
90	n/a	EFPA	Environment Protection Authority	General comments	Notes support for the review and urges retention of EFPA boundaries in the Mount Loft Ranges Water Protection Area.	Noted.
91	91	EFPA	Attorney-General's Department – Planning and Land Use Division	Various technical, mapping and administrative amendments	Identifies a range of technical, mapping and administrative amendments for review.	Amend as noted in the Draft Environment and Food Production Areas Review Outcomes Report – Appendix E
92	n/a	EFPA & CPD	Barossa Australia	General comments	Supports the retention of the EFPA and CPD boundaries	Noted.
93	n/a	CPD	Community Member	Land at McLaren Vale township	Seeks the retention of the CPD boundary around McLaren Vale.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
94A	n/a	EFPA & CPD	The Barossa Council	General comments	Supports the findings of the Land Supply Report.	Noted.
94B	n/a			CPD boundary amendments	Identifies a range of CPD sites as potential boundary amendments.	These proposals are outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
95	n/a	CPD	Community member	Land at McLaren Vale township	Seeks the retention of the CPD boundary around McLaren Vale.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
96	n/a	CPD	Landholder	Lots 10 & 12 McCallum Road, Concordia	Seeks removal of the CPD to enable rezoning and redevelopment.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
97	n/a	EFPA & CPD	Friends of Port Willunga	General comments	Requests that the CPD boundaries be retained and no longer be subject to review or land supply tests in the future.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
98	n/a	EFPA & CPD	Friends of Willunga Basin	General comments	Seeks retention of the existing CPD boundaries.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
99A	n/a	CPD	Landholder	Lot 805 Aldersley Street, McLaren Vale Lot 502 Johnston Road, McLaren Vale	Notes recent changes in population / residential development that are not reflected in the Land Supply Report that underpins the EFPA Review. Also notes that the Land Supply Report does not reflect local population / residential development patterns.	The Land Supply Report was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the PDI Act 2016 and the findings are supported by the Commission.
99B	n/a	EFPA		General comments	Requests amendment to the McLaren Vale CPD boundary to enable rezoning and residential development.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
100	n/a	CPD	Hon L Bignell MP	General comments	Provides feedback regarding the CPD process undertaken and seeks the retention of the CPB boundaries.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
101	n/a	CPD	Community Member	Land at McLaren Vale township	Seeks retention of the existing CPD boundary around McLaren Vale.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
102	n/a	CPD	J Darley MP and Landholder	Multiple parcels at Tanunda and Gomersal	Requests amendments to the CPD framework to strengthen primary production activities and / or the removal of the CPD from the subject land.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
103	n/a	CPD	Landholder	138 Moppa Road South, Nuriootpa	Request to remove the CPD (via designation to CPD Township) to enable rezoning and residential development.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
104	n/a	CPD	McLaren Vale Grape Wine and Tourism Association	General comments	Seeks retention of the existing CPD boundaries.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.
105	n/a	CPD	City of Onkaparinga	Yaroona township	Request to remove the CPD (via designation to CPD Township) over the Yaroona township to enable rezoning and township growth.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.

Sub. No.	Map No.	Sub. Topic	Submitter	Submission Subject	Submission Summary	Commission Decision
106	n/a	CPD	Community member	Land at McLaren Vale township	Seeks retention of the existing CPD boundary around McLaren Vale.	This request is outside the scope of the EFPA review and was addressed via the Character Preservation Acts Review 2018.

## Footnotes

\* denotes submissions that are historical and were received prior to the consultation of the EFPA.

\*\* denotes verbal representation made at hearing

^ denotes row that is intentionally blank – (2 submissions were repeated in original numbering)