

Comments on Town of Gawler Boundary Change General Proposal

1. Area1: Concordia Growth Area

Requirements (based on the guidelines)	Proposal	Comments
<p>Description of the proposal</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a detailed description of the proposal • Clearly identified all councils involved 	<p>The proposal provides an overall description of the proposed changes highlighting the key focus points of boundary adjustments, recognition of the Community of Interest, examples of utilisation rates in infrastructure and facilities, examples from council's current and future strategic planning on Community Development and how that incorporate the needs of the extended community. The proposal discusses in general on Regional Economic Considerations and emphasised 'on way forward' approach for Gawler Community Plan rather a 'tacked on' approach.</p> <p>The proposal clearly identified the councils involved. The Concordia Growth Area located in the Barossa Council.</p>	<p>'Description of the proposal' addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<p>Section 26 principles</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the proposal with reference to the principles set out in section 26 	<p>The proposal provides separate sections on response to the principle sets out in section 26(1) (c) and how Council's proposal aligns with each principles.</p> <p>(i) The proposal highlights that, the Concordia development may commence construction in 3-5 years period and due to location, the Gawler Council predicts that the Concordia Community will rely on the services provided by and within the council. Therefore, as a forward planning the investment on infrastructure and services needs to accommodate the additional people living within proximity of the</p>	<p>26 (c) (i) addressed satisfactorily.</p>

	<p>council, to be sync with the inclusion of Concordia Growth Area within the council.</p> <p>(ii) The proposal discusses how in future the inclusion of Concordia will help to reduce cost of living, and will enable the future Concordia residents to have a voice within community with the condition that the development plan would not be downgraded.</p> <p>(iii) This principle addressed with principle (iv)</p> <p>(iv) The proposal provides a list of existing services which will be available for future Concordia community and that has been used by the residents of the Town of Gawler and non-residents living in close proximity of the Council. The proposal includes financial analysis as part of boundary reform investigations and discusses that proposed inclusion of Areas of Interest will not materially impact Council's ability to deliver infrastructure and services to the Gawler Community.</p> <p>(v) The proposal points that the inclusion of Concordia within the Town of Gawler will enable efficient use of hard infrastructure, social infrastructure and will</p>	<p>26 (c) (ii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This principle focuses on whether the Council have sufficient resource and financial ability to perform all the function efficiently and effectively. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of Attachment 2 and Attachment 6 of the proposal document: Item 10 of Att 6 has the detail financial analysis for Concordia (page 44 of 65) and Item 28 of Att 6 has the detail indicative additional staffing and operating analysis from the date of boundary adjustment (page 18 of 127), the proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (iii) separately and needs discussion.</p> <p>26 (c) (iv) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (v) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	--	--

	<p>create future opportunities for business investment which would improve economic and employment outlook.</p> <p>(vi) The proposal gives reference to a number of plans such as Climate Emergency Plan, Biodiversity Management Plan, and Gawler Storm Water Management plan, Environmental Management Plan and explains how these plans at present and in future will ensure the protection of natural environment within the Town of Gawler.</p> <p>(vii) The proposal discusses about various tangible and intangible factors of Community of Interest in section 2.2 of the proposal and mentions under this principle that due to proximity the Concordia residents will likely to utilise all the services and faculties and will attend the events of the Town of Gawler. The proposal emphasises how it is important to include properly with the town of Gawler community to have an appropriate voice.</p> <p>(viii) The proposal argues about the close vicinity of Gawler's Town Centre, The Gawler Administration Centre and The Gawler Civic Centre, Gawler Sports and Community Centre, Gawler Aquatic Centre etc. from the Area of Interest and indicates that these facilities have the capacity to be used by the Concordia future residents.</p> <p>(ix) This principle addressed with principle (x)</p>	<p>26 (c) (vi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (vii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (viii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This principle focuses on why it is important to include the members from the new areas to have a voice in making decision on local matters and how the Town of Gawler ensure their participation.</p>
--	---	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information from other councils in relation to their view of how the proposal will address the principles 	<p>(x) The proposal provides a comparable table with other Councils which includes the number of Electors and Councillors and the ratio of representation quota. The proposal points out that the representation quota is consistent with the State-wide average and inclusion of Concordia will not have a material impact on representation requirements in the short-to-mid-term.</p> <p>(ix) The proposal provides a list of area where the Town of Gawler is collaborating with other Councils. The proposal points that the Town of Gawler investigates the opportunities for further collaboration but that has been limited by the conflicting priorities and policies of other Councils.</p> <p>The proposal adds a response from The Barossa Council in context of the Section 26 Principles (Att 5) and Town of Gawler's responses on each Principles. The Barossa Council emphasises in further analyses to ensure efficient use of resources through a collaborative model between The Barossa Council and Town of Gawler.</p>	<p>This principle needs a discussion on above points in the proposal. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of detail discussion on 2.2 Community of Interest and 2.3 Regional Economic Considerations, the proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (ix) separately and needs discussion.</p> <p>26 (c) (x) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (ix) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
Community of interest	The proposal includes a section on discussion on both tangible and intangible elements of Community of Interest from the proposed boundary changes.	This point addressed satisfactorily.

	The proposal includes the data evidence on the use of Town of Gawler's infrastructure and services by the residents living outside of the Gawler LGA.	
<p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A list of sequence dates of key council decisions, announcements, notices, meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. 	<p>The proposal includes The Calendar of Events (page 77) which highlights list of key council decisions, announcements, and notices, public and other meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. The proposal attaches copies of supporting documents (Att 6).</p> <p>The first boundary reform was mentioned in 2014 in the Town of Gawler's 2014-2024 Strategic Directions Report (Att 6: item 1). In the report, 1.1.3 point highlighted that boundary realignment is important to 'reflect the township of Gawler's population and reinforce its sense of community with common interest'. Following this, the 2017-2027 Community Plan also includes the boundary reform as one of strategic direction (Att 6: item 3).</p> <p>On 21 December 2018 in the Town of Gawler's Official Media Release it was mentioned that Concordia Growth Area should be part of the Gawler as it will be at very close proximity and future residents of that area will be using the services of Gawler (Att 6: item 5). In response to a letter dated 24 April 2019, the Barossa Council responded on 10 May 2019 and highlighted that Barossa Council opposed the inclusion of Concordia growth Area in Gawler as it is part of Barossa GI and informed the council that Concordia would be a part of Barossa Council's Strategic Direction Report (Att 6: item 6).</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.

	<p>The Notice of Motion on 28 May 2019, from Gawler council recommended Concordia, Hewett, Kalbeeba and Gawler Belt as part of boundary reform (Att 6: item 7) and it was published as Town of Gawler's Official Media Release on 29 May 2019 (Att 6: item 8). Letters to the Barossa Council and the Light Regional Council were sent on this matter on 3 June 2019 (Att 6: item 9).</p> <p>Council Boundary Change Proposal of On 10 September 2019 reported further analyses on this matter and included all nine areas in the report (Att 6: item 10). The report acknowledged the opposition from the Barossa Council for inclusion of Concordia in the Gawler (page 25 of the report) and highlighted the Town of Gawler's capacity to support a growing community.</p> <p>The Town of Gawler sent out a letter to Barossa Council on 18 September 2019 (Att 6: item 17) regarding their decision to go forward with the boundary realignment and their intention to set up a meeting with all concerned councils. The Barossa Council responded to this letter on 9 October 2019 (Att 6: item 22).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler reported a more thorough analyses including a detail financial impact analyses for all nine areas of interest on the boundary reform in their council meeting on 26 November 2019 (Att 6: item 28). The report also included a communication</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>and consultation plan with other affected councils and stakeholders.</p> <p>The Town of Gawler sent a letter on potential proposal for a boundary change to Boundary Commission on 3 December 2020 which was acknowledged and responded by the Boundary Commission with some advice on stage 2 proposal submission on 13 February 2020 (Att 6: item 35).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler asked for assets information to assist with proposal formation on 25May 2020 (Att 6: item 49).</p> <p>On 5 August 2020 , The Town of Gawler notified the Barossa Council on their submission of stage 1 of the proposal to Boundary Commission on 4 December 2020 and updated their progression on stage 2 of the proposal (Att 6: item 59).</p> <p>On 24 August 2020, through Town of Gawler's Official Media Release the Town of Gawler launched a six week consultation period. This consultation process ran through 'Your Voice' survey, two public forums and written submission from the Councils and residents. (Att 6: item 64).</p> <p>On 2 October 2020, the Barossa Council sent a letter to the Town of Gawler reinstate their opposition of the inclusion of Concordia and Kalbeeba in the Town of Gawler, expressed their view on the 'Your Voice'</p>	
--	---	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Numbers of letters received • The degree of support shown for and against the submittal of the proposal 	<p>survey and provided a response on Section 26 principles (Att 6: item 90).</p> <p>Apart from sending information on Council's Boundary Reform Proposal Consultation leaflet to 8,447 households/businesses and sending link to 1,301 ratepayers, the Council sent letters to 145 stakeholders (including affected Councils) and community organisations to attend an open public forum or to provide a written submission. The number of written submissions received during the consultation period was 22.</p> <p>The proposal provides detail report on Boundary Reform Community Consultation Survey (Att4). The survey conducted from August 26, 2020 to October 9, 2020. 44.1% of survey participants from Town of Gawler. The majority of residents in the Town of Gawler are in strong support of the proposal and in general adjacent Council are residents are opposed.</p> <p>17.8% of survey participants were from Barossa Council and among them 25% respondents were from Concordia suburb.</p> <p>Among the respondents from the Barossa Council, 11% agree with the efficiency of one Council management and 19% support Council's proposal.</p> <p>The Proposal also include written submission (Att 5) received by the community members. There were one email from a land owner of Concordia Growth Area. The respondent emphasise on the regional</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	--	---

	collaboration between The Barossa Council and Town of Gawler rather than boundary reform (Att L of Att 5)	
<p>Advantages and Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a balanced representation of the advantages and disadvantages of the proposal 	<p>The proposal does not includes a separate section outlining the advantages and disadvantages. The proposal broadly discusses the advantages from these changes. Such as: the proposed realignment would allow Council to provide more comprehensive and competitive services to the community, facilitate greater investment and jobs for the region and overall increase the economies of scale for the community.</p> <p>The proposal does not explicitly identify any disadvantages from the Town of Gawler side. However, the proposal includes the summary and copies of written submissions and letters from the residents/ businesses of councils involved which include concerns regarding possible increase in rates, changes to zoning.</p>	<p>In the Overview and Summary of the proposal (page 4 and 87) the advantages and disadvantages of all affected councils has been discussed. The advantages and disadvantages of the community residents are also reflected from the results of the community consultation survey and from the written submissions that have been included in the proposal (Att 4 and Att 5).</p> <p>Whether the Commission will ask the Town of Gawler to revise this section in the proposal is subject to discussion in the Commission Meeting.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify stakeholders groups, providing details of the interests and identify of each. 	<p>The proposal identifies stakeholder groups, provides detail of the interests and record all significant opposition known to the applicant council and the basis of this.</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Record any significant opposition known to the applicant council and the basis of this. 	<p>Concordia Land Management group provided a written submission (Att 5) opposing the proposal as they see more efficient service delivery for the future approx. 23,000 residents of Concordia Growth Area through regional collaboration between Town of Gawler and the Barossa Council rather than included in the Town of Gawler.</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>

Any other relevant information	The proposal discusses the points of Section 31(3) (b). For the Concordia Growth Area, the proposal discusses the concerns from The Barossa Council on the impact of this change on Barossa Geographical Indication (GI) Zone. The proposal provides detail data, graphs and information on the extent of the support for the proposal.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
Administrative matters <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maps • Name and contact details 	<p>The proposal provides maps detail the Concordia Growth Area and the surrounding area.</p> <p>The proposal provides the name and the contact details of the contact person.</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.

2. Area 2: Hewett

Requirements (based on the guidelines)	Proposal	Comments
Description of the proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a detailed description of the proposal • Clearly identified all councils involved 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>The proposal clearly identified the councils involved. The suburb of Hewett located in the Light Regional Council.</p>	'Description of the proposal' addressed satisfactorily.
Section 26 principles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the proposal with reference to the principles set out in section 26 	<p>The proposal provides separate sections on response to the principle sets out in section 26(1) (c) and how Council's proposal aligns with each principles.</p> <p>(i) The Council emphasises on the fact that the residents of Hewett has relied largely on services and</p>	26 (c) (i) addressed satisfactorily.

	<p>infrastructure of the Town of Gawler for the last two decades and the efficiency only can be met inclusion of the Hewett residents within the Council.</p> <p>(ii) The proposal discusses as a regular users of services and infrastructures by the Hewett residents how formal inclusion would bring greater equity for current Gawler rate payers and increase opportunity for future investments and growth.</p> <p>(iii) This principle addressed with principle (iv)</p> <p>(iv) The proposal provides a list of existing services which has already been used greatly by the Hewett community and non-residents living in close proximity of the Council. The proposal further includes that, due to close proximity of Hewett, the Light Regional Council has not needed to heavily invest in services and infrastructure for Hewett residents.</p> <p>The proposal includes financial analysis as part of boundary reform investigations and discusses that the Council is confident that proposed inclusion of Areas of Interest will not materially impact Council's ability</p>	<p>26 (c) (ii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This principle focusing on whether the Council have sufficient resource and financial ability to perform all the function efficiently and effectively. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of Attachment 2 and Attachment 6 of the proposal document: Item 10 of Att 6 has the detail financial analysis for Hewett (page 42 of 65) and Item 28 of Att 6 has the detail indicative additional staffing and operating analysis from the date of boundary adjustment (page 18 of 127), the actual proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (iii) separately and needs discussion.</p> <p>26 (c) (iv) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	---	---

	<p>to deliver infrastructure and services to the Gawler Community.</p> <p>(v) The proposal points that Town of Gawler is seeking to establish a planned, organised to plan and develop its future community and inclusion of Hewett will enable greater integration of hard infrastructure, social infrastructure, open space and connectivity.</p> <p>(vi) The proposal gives reference to a number of plans such as Climate Emergency Plan, Biodiversity Management Plan, and Gawler Storm Water Management plan, Environmental Management Plan and how these plans at present and in future will ensure the protection of natural environment within the Town of Gawler and areas close by.</p> <p>(vii) The proposal discusses about various tangible and intangible factors of Community of Interest in section 2.2 of the proposal and mentions under this principle that due to proximity Hewett residents they are already considered as a part of the community. The proposal adds a number of examples and data how Hewett residents are considered as part of Gawler community. For example honouring two residents of Hewett in Australia day, participation of children of Hewett in schools in Gawler, participation through employment within Gawler etc.</p> <p>(viii) The proposal argues the close vicinity of Gawler's Town Centre, The Gawler Administration Centre and The Gawler Civic Centre, Gawler Sports and</p>	<p>26 (c) (v) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (vi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (vii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (viii) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>Community Centre, Gawler Aquatic Centre etc. which have the capacity to be used by the Hewett residents.</p> <p>(ix) This principle addressed with principle (x)</p> <p>(x) The proposal provides a comparable table with other Councils which includes the number of Electors and Councillors and the ratio of representation quota. The proposal points out that the representation quota and consistent with the State-wide average and inclusion of Hewett will not have a material impact on representation requirements in the short-to-mid-term.</p> <p>(xi) The proposal provides a list of area where the Town of Gawler is collaborating with other Councils. The proposal points that the Town of Gawler investigates the opportunities for further collaboration but that has been limited by the conflicting priorities and policies of other Councils.</p> <p>The proposal does not have a response from The Light Regional Council in context of the Section 26 Principles.</p>	<p>This principle focuses on why it is important to include the members from the new areas to have a voice in making decision on local matters and how the Town of Gawler ensure their participation. This principle needs a discussion on above points in the proposal. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of detail discussion on 2.2 Community of Interest and 2.3 Regional Economic Considerations, the actual proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (ix) separately and needs discussion. Considering the importance of Hewett as a part of the Light Regional Council, this principle needs to be addressed properly.</p> <p>26 (c) (x) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (xi) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	---	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information from other councils in relation to their view of how the proposal will address the principles 		As per guideline, the Commission may request information from affected council in relation to their view. As Hewett would have a significant financial impact on the Light Regional Council, the Commission should consider asking the Light Regional Council to provide a response on Section 26 on this matter.
Community of interest	Refer to 1 above.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A list of sequence dates of key council decisions, announcements, notices, meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. 	<p>The proposal includes The Calendar of Events (page 77) which highlights list of key council decisions, announcements, and notices, public and other meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. The proposal attaches copies of supporting documents (Att 6).</p> <p>The first boundary reform was mentioned in 2014 in the Town of Gawler's 2014-2024 Strategic Directions Report (Att 6: item 1). In the report, 1.1.3 point highlighted that boundary realignment is important to 'reflect the township of Gawler's population and reinforce its sense of community with common interest'. Following this, the 2017-2027 Community Plan also includes the boundary reform as one of strategic direction (Att 6: item 3).</p> <p>On 21 December 2018 in the Town of Gawler's Official Media Release it was mentioned that Hewett should be part of the Gawler as it is very close proximity and residents of that area is using the services of Gawler (Att 6: item 5).</p> <p>The Notice of Motion on 28 May 2019, from Gawler council recommended Concordia, Hewett, Kalbeeba</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.

	<p>and Gawler Belt as part of boundary reform (Att 6: item 7) and it was published as Town of Gawler's Official Media Release on 29 May 2019 (Att 6: item 8). Letters to the Barossa Council and the Light Regional Council were sent on this matter on 3 June 2019 (Att 6: item 9).</p> <p>Council Boundary Change Proposal of On 10 September 2019 reported further analyses on this matter and included all nine areas in the report (Att 6: item 10). The report acknowledged the opposition from the Light Council for inclusion of Hewett in the Gawler (page 26 of the report) through a statement published in the Bunyip on 29 May 2019 and highlighted the Town of Gawler's capacity to support a Hewett community. The report also provided a high level financial analyses and maps (section 5.1 of the report and Att 4 respectively).</p> <p>An article was published in The Bunyip on 18 September 2019 which mentioned that the Light Regional Council 'will fight to keep the Hewett within itself'. On the same day another article was published by the Mayor of Gawler on this issue which highlighted the facts on why Hewett should be realigned with Gawler (Att 6: item 13).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler sent out a letter to the Light Regional Council on 18 September 2019 (Att 6: item 16) regarding their decision to go forward with the boundary realignment and their intention to set up a meeting with all concerned councils.</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>On 2 October 2019 two articles published in The Bunyip and in the Leader. The Bunyip article reviewed the views from both councils on this realignment and the article in The Leader reviewed the points for opposition from the Light Regional Council (Att 6: item 19 and 20).</p> <p>The Light Regional Council wrote a letter on 28 October 2019 where it was pointed that the council was opposed to the boundary reform that their own analysis found the proposal was fundamentally flawed. In the letter the council expressed its intention to put forward an “Alternative’ based Structural Reform (Att 6: item 25).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler reported a more thorough analyses including a detail financial impact analyses for all nine areas of interest on the boundary reform in their council meeting on 26 November 2019 (Att 6: item 28). The report also included a communication and consultation plan with other affected councils and stakeholders.</p> <p>On 16 December 2019, the Light Regional Council wrote to the Town of Gawler regarding the discussion of the Council meeting on 10 December 2019. In the meeting the Light regional Council restate their position regarding boundary changes because: there were strong opposition from the residents of Hewett and Gawler Belt, the proposed changes would dismantle the Light Regional Council and adversely impact the Council’s regional economic development and continuation of its leadership role (Att 6: item 32).</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>The Town of Gawler responded to this letter by writing a letter dated 27 December 2019 (Att 6: item 37).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler sent a letter on potential proposal for a boundary change to Boundary Commission on 3 December 2020 which was acknowledged and responded by the Boundary Commission with some advice on stage 2 proposal submission on 13 February 2020 (Att 6: item 35).</p> <p>On 20 March 2020, the Light Regional Council responded the letter received from the Town of Gawler on 27 February with a request to defer or withdraw its boundary reform aspirations. In the letter the Council mentioned about unprecedented economic slowdown because of US-China Trade Tensions, drought, bush fires and Covid-19 (Att 6: item 41).</p> <p>On 21 April 2020, the Light Regional Council sent a letter to the Town of Gawler with presentation on proposed Structural Reform (Att 6: item 42).</p> <p>On 29 April 2020, the Light Regional Council sent another letter to the Town of Gawler updating the Council on proposed Structural Reform with map (Att 6: item 44). On this issue an article was published in The Bunyip on 6 May 2020 (Att 6: item 45).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler responded to the Light Regional Council's letters on 7 May 2020 requesting a full briefing on its Regional Vision project (Att 6: item 46).</p>	
--	---	--

	<p>The Light Regional Council sent relevant information on its Structural Reform plan on 18 May 2020 (Att 6: item 48).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler asked for assets information to assist with proposal formation on 25 May 2020 (Att 6: item 49) and on 11 June 2020, the Light Regional Council responded to this letter stating that as the Light Regional Council lodged Stage 1 Proposal to the commission Council would not accede to Town of Gawler's request (Att 6: item 50).</p> <p>On 11 June 2020, the Light Regional Council notified the Town of Gawler that the council sent the stage 1 proposal of the Structural Reform to the Boundary Commission (Att 6: item 50).</p> <p>On 5 August 2020 , The Town of Gawler notified the Light Regional Council on their submission of stage 1 of the proposal to Boundary Commission on 4 December 2020 and updated their progression on stage 2 of the proposal (Att 6: item 61).</p> <p>On 12 August 2020, an opinion published in The Bunyip titled 'Hands off Hewett' by a rate payer of the Light Regional Council voicing strong opposition of inclusion of Hewett within Gawler Council (Att 6: item 62).</p> <p>On 19 August 2020, the Light Regional Council sent an email to the Town of Gawler updating the Council on proposed Structural Reform and sought a clarification on the letter from Regional Development Australia to</p>	
--	---	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbers of letters received The degree of support shown for and against the submittal of the proposal 	<p>the Light Regional Council on 13 August 2020 (Att 6: item 63).</p> <p>On 24 August 2020, through Town of Gawler's Official Media Release the Town of Gawler launched a six week consultation period. This consultation process ran through 'Your Voice' survey, two public forums and written submission from the Councils and residents. (Att 6: item 64).</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>33.7% of survey participants were from Light Regional Council and among them 59% respondents were from Hewett suburb.</p> <p>Among the respondents from The Light Regional Council, 13% agree with the efficiency of one Council management and 15% support Council's proposal.</p> <p>The Mayor of the Light Regional Council submitted a written submission recommending that 'if the Town of Gawler's proposal is successful, this would have the effect of making Light regional Council unsustainable, to the detriment of Council's ratepayers, its service delivery capacity and genuine regional aspirations.' (Att B of Att 5)</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<p>Advantages and Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a balanced representation of the advantages and 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>In Addition, Light Regional Council voiced that the loss of areas such as Hewett and Gawler Belt will impact</p>	<p>In the Overview and Summary of the proposal (page 4 and 87) the advantages and disadvantages of all affected councils has been discussed. The advantages and disadvantages of the community</p>

<p>disadvantages of the proposal</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify stakeholders groups, providing details of the interests and identify of each. Record any significant opposition known to the applicant council and the basis of this. 	<p>on the Council's long term viability through reduced rate oncome.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>The proposal includes all significant opposition known to the applicant council. It includes letters from the Mayor of the Light Regional Council where the council opposes the proposal. In their view there is a strong opposition from the residents, boundary changes will have the potential for adverse impact on the capacity of the council and its economic development (Item 32, Att 6; letter dated 16 Dec 2019). A second letter was sent where the Light Regional Council requested the Town of Gawler to withdraw boundary reform aspirations and highlighted the adverse impact on the Light Regional Council due to US-China trade tension, drought, bush fires and COVID-19 in 2020 (Item 41, Att 6; letter dated 20 March 2020). As a counter measure, the Light Regional Council initiated a proposal for "Regional Structural Reform" to Boundaries Commission to divide the Local Government area under the jurisdiction of the Gawler Council between The Barossa Council, City of Playford and Light Regional Council (Item 42, Att6; letter dated</p>	<p>residents are also reflected from the results of the community consultation survey and from the written submissions that have been included in the proposal (Att 4 and Att 5).</p> <p>Whether the Commission will ask the Town of Gawler to revise this section in the proposal is subject to discussion in the Commission Meeting.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	--	--

	<p>21 April 2020; Item 44, Att 6; letter dated 29 April 2020). The Town of Gawler responded to the above issues through a letter (Item 46, Att6; letter dated 7 May 2020).</p> <p>The proposal also includes all the published media news relating to this matter.</p>	
Any other relevant information	<p>The proposal discusses the points of Section 31(3) (b). For example, the proposal mentions that public transport routs connect Hewett residents with the Town of Gawler but not to areas/services of the Light Regional Council. This ensures the efficient use of existing resources. The proposal also discusses how the exiting services and facilities of the Council have already been used heavily by the Hewett residents because of the proximity of the location.</p> <p>The proposal takes into consideration of the viticulture of the Barossa Council, Barossa GI zone and these would impacted by the boundary changes.</p> <p>The proposal provides detail data, graphs and information on the extent of the support for the proposal.</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Administrative matters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maps • Name and contact details 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.

3. Area 3: Kalbeeba (including Springwood)

Requirements (based on the guidelines)	Proposal	Comments
Description of the proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a detailed description of the proposal • Clearly identified all councils involved 	Linked to 1 above. The proposal clearly identified the councils involved. The suburb of Kalbeeba located in the Barossa Council.	Refer to 1 above.
Section 26 principles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the proposal with reference to the principles set out in section 26 	<p>The proposal provides response of each point of the principle sets out in section 26(1) (c) and how Council's proposal aligns with each principles for Hewett.</p> <p>(i) The Council mentions that inclusion of Kalbeeba Area of Interest into the Town of Gawler will enable economic efficiency to be achieved through improved commercial arrangements and value for money.</p> <p>Service delivery efficiency will meet by providing services through one council rather from multiple councils.</p> <p>(ii) The proposal discusses as a regular users of services and infrastructures by Kalbeeba Area of Interest residents how formal inclusion would bring greater equity for current Gawler rate payers and increase opportunity for future investments and growth.</p>	<p>26 (c) (i) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (ii) addressed satisfactorily.</p>

	<p>(iii) This principle addressed with principle (iv)</p> <p>(iv) The proposal provides a list of existing services which is available for Kalbeeba Area of Interest community and that has been used by the residents of the Town of Gawler and non-residents living in close proximity of the Council.</p> <p>The proposal further includes that, due to close proximity of Kalbeeba Area of Interest, the Barossa Council has not needed to heavily invest in services and infrastructure for Hewett residents.</p> <p>The proposal includes financial analysis as part of boundary reform investigations and shows confident that proposed inclusion of Areas of Interest will not materially impact Council's ability to deliver infrastructure and services to the Gawler Community.</p> <p>(v) The proposal points that Town of Gawler is seeking to establish a planned, organised to plan and develop its future community and inclusion of Gawler will enable greater integration of hard infrastructure, social infrastructure, open space and connectivity.</p>	<p>This principle focusing on whether the Council have sufficient resource and financial ability to perform all the function efficiently and effectively. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of Attachment 6 of the proposal document: Item 28 of Att 6 has the detail indicative additional staffing and operating analysis from the date of boundary adjustment (page 18 of 127), the actual proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (iii) separately and needs discussion.</p> <p>26 (c) (iv) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (v) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	---	---

	<p>Barossa Council in 16 April 2019 Council meeting decided that it in principle will support the Town of Gawler to proceed with Springwood infrastructure development by recognising this area as a part of the Town of Gawler's boundary reform plan.</p> <p>In response to the principles, the proposal discusses how inclusion of Kalbeeba Area of Interest will enable greater integration in planning for infrastructures, services and connectivity. The proposal mentions about after the resolution form Barossa Council Meeting on 16 April 2020, the Town of Gawler has invested \$2.4M and the State Government has invested \$68M in the provision of Gawler East Link Road to support Springwood Development and future Concordia Development.</p> <p>Similar to Hewett, the proposal highlights the facts that many residents from the Kalbeeba work in Gawler, children go to school in Gawler and shops, recreation and sports facilities in the Gawler. Therefore, by formal inclusion of these residents there will be equitable distribution of rates and efficient use of resources.</p> <p>(vi) The proposal gives reference to a number of plans such as Climate Emergency Plan, Biodiversity Management Plan, and Gawler Storm Water Management plan, Environmental Management Plan and how these plans at present and in future will ensure the protection of natural environment within the Town of Gawler.</p>	<p>26 (c) (vi) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	---	--

	<p>(vii) The proposal discusses about various tangible and intangible factors of community of interest in section 2.2 of the proposal and mentions under this principle that due to proximity Kalbeeba Area of Interest residents they are already considered as a part of the community.</p> <p>(viii) The proposal argues about the close vicinity of Gawler's Town Centre, The Gawler Administration Centre and The Gawler Civic Centre, Gawler Sports and Community Centre, Gawler Aquatic Centre etc. from the Area of Interest and indicates that these facilities have the capacity to be used by the Kalbeeba residents.</p> <p>(ix) This principle addressed with principle (x)</p> <p>(x) The proposal provides a comparable table with other Councils including the number of Electors and Councillors and the ratio of representation quota. The proposal points out that the representation quota and consistent with the State-wide average and inclusion of Kalbeeba Area of Interest will not have a material</p>	<p>26 (c) (vii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (viii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This principle focuses on why it is important to include the members from the new areas to have a voice in making decision on local matters and how the Town of Gawler ensure their participation. This principle needs a discussion on above points in the proposal. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of detail discussion on 2.2 Community of Interest and 2.3 Regional Economic Considerations, the actual proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (ix) separately and needs discussion. Considering the importance of Hewett as a part of the Light Regional Council, this principle needs to be addressed properly.</p> <p>26 (c) (x) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	---	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information from other councils in relation to their view of how the proposal will address the principles 	<p>impact on representation requirements in the short-to-mid-term.</p> <p>(xi) The proposal provides a list of area where the Town of Gawler is collaborating with other Councils. The proposal points that the Town of Gawler investigates the opportunities for further collaboration but that has been limited by the conflicting priorities and policies of other Councils.</p> <p>The proposal adds a response from The Barossa Council in context of the Section 26 Principles (Att 5) and Town of Gawler's responses on each Principles. The Barossa Council emphasises in further analyses to ensure efficient use of resources through a collaborative model between The Barossa Council and Town of Gawler.</p>	<p>26 (c) (xi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<p>Community of interest</p>	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A list of sequence dates of key council decisions, announcements, notices, meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. 	<p>The proposal includes The Calendar of Events (page 77) which highlights list of key council decisions, announcements, and notices, public and other meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. The proposal attaches copies of supporting documents (Att 6).</p> <p>The first boundary reform was mentioned in 2014 in the Town of Gawler's 2014-2024 Strategic Directions Report (Att 6: item 1). In the report, 1.1.3 point highlighted that boundary realignment is important to 'reflect the township of Gawler's population and reinforce its sense of community with common</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>

	<p>interest'. Following this, the 2017-2027 Community Plan also includes the boundary reform as one of strategic direction (Att 6: item 3).</p> <p>The Notice of Motion on 28 May 2019, from Gawler council recommended Concordia, Hewett, Kalbeeba and Gawler Belt as part of boundary reform (Att 6: item 7) and it was published as Town of Gawler's Official Media Release on 29 May 2019 (Att 6: item 8). Letters to the Barossa Council and the Light Regional Council were sent on this matter on 3 June 2019 (Att 6: item 9).</p> <p>Council Boundary Change Proposal of On 10 September 2019 reported further analyses on this matter and included all nine areas in the report (Att 6: item 10).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler sent out a letter to Barossa Council on 18 September 2019 (Att 6: item 17) regarding their decision to go forward with the boundary realignment and their intention to set up a meeting with all concerned councils. The Barossa Council responded to this letter on 9 October 2019 (Att 6: item 22).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler reported a more thorough analyses including a detail financial impact analyses for all nine areas of interest on the boundary reform in their council meeting on 26 November 2019 (Att 6: item 28). The report also included a communication and consultation plan with other affected councils and stakeholders.</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>The Town of Gawler sent a letter on potential proposal for a boundary change to Boundary Commission on 3 December 2020 which was acknowledged and responded by the Boundary Commission with some advice on stage 2 proposal submission on 13 February 2020 (Att 6: item 35).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler asked for assets information to assist with proposal formation on 25 May 2020 (Att 6: item 49).</p> <p>On 5 August 2020 , The Town of Gawler notified the Barossa Council on their submission of stage 1 of the proposal to Boundary Commission on 4 December 2020 and updated their progression on stage 2 of the proposal (Att 6: item 59).</p> <p>On 24 August 2020, through Town of Gawler’s Official Media Release the Town of Gawler launched a six week consultation period. This consultation process ran through ‘Your Voice’ survey, two public forums and written submission from the Councils and residents. (Att 6: item 64).</p> <p>On 2 October 2020, the Barossa Council sent a letter to the Town of Gawler reinstate their opposition of the inclusion of Concordia and Kalbeeba in the Town of Gawler, expressed their view on the ‘Your Voice’ survey and provided a response on Section 26 principles (Att 6: item 90).</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	
--	---	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbers of letters received The degree of support shown for and against the submittal of the proposal 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>17.8% of survey participants were from Barossa Council and among them 67% respondents were from Kalbeeba suburb.</p> <p>Among the respondents from the Barossa Council, 11% agree with the efficiency of one Council management and 19% support Council's proposal.</p> <p>The Proposal also includes written submission (Att 5) received by the community members. Through Your Voice, one responder mentioned that the residents of Kalbeeba support boundary reform as they use daily Gawler infrastructure and rarely use Barossa Council's facilities (Att 5).</p> <p>Another responder, resident of Kalbeeba opposed of the boundary changing and showed concern over rate increase, school zoning change and inhibition of user of other services (Att D of Att 5). Barossa Council also opposed to the changes and showed their concern over natural distinction and delineation for adjoin areas of Barossa and the GI (Att M of Att 5).</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<p>Advantages and Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a balanced representation of the advantages and disadvantages of the proposal 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	<p>In the Overview and Summary of the proposal (page 4 and 87) the advantages and disadvantages of all affected councils has been discussed. The advantages and disadvantages of the community residents are also reflected from the results of the community consultation survey and from the written submissions that have been included in the proposal (Att 4 and Att 5).</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify stakeholders groups, providing details of the interests and identify of each. Record any significant opposition known to the applicant council and the basis of this. 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>N/A</p>	<p>Whether the Commission will ask the Town of Gawler to revise this section in the proposal is subject to discussion in the Commission Meeting.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>N/A</p>
Any other relevant information	<p>The proposal discusses the points of Section 31(3) (b). For the Kalbeeba suburb, the proposal discusses the concerns from The Barossa Council on the impact of this change on Barossa Geographical Indication (GI) Zone.</p> <p>The proposal provides detail data, graphs and information on the extent of the support for the proposal.</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Administrative matters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maps Name and contact details 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.

4. Area 4: Gawler Belt

Requirements (based on the guidelines)	Proposal	Comments
Description of the proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a detailed description of the proposal • Clearly identified all councils involved 	Refer to 1 above. The proposal clearly identified the councils involved. The suburb of Gawler Belt located in the Light Regional Council.	'Description of the proposal' addressed satisfactorily.
Section 26 principles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the proposal with reference to the principles set out in section 26 	The proposal provides response of each point of the principle sets out in section 26(1) (c) and how Council's proposal aligns with each principles for Hewett. (i) The proposal highlights that, The Gawler Belt forms a natural extension to Gawler and has inherently become part of township as there are no public facilities and services in this area and the community rely heavily upon the Town of Gawler. (ii) The proposal discusses as a regular users of services and infrastructures by the Gawler Belt residents how formal inclusion would bring greater equity for current Gawler rate payers and increase opportunity for future investments and growth. (iii) This principle addressed with principle (iv)	26 (c) (i) addressed satisfactorily. 26 (c) (ii) addressed satisfactorily. This principle focusing on whether the Council have sufficient resource and financial ability to perform all the function efficiently and effectively. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of Attachment 6 of the proposal document: Item 28 of Att 6 has the detail indicative additional staffing and operating analysis from the date of boundary adjustment (page 18

	<p>(iv) The proposal provides a list of existing services which has been already used by the Gawler Belt community and that has been used by the residents of the Town of Gawler and non-residents living in close proximity of the Council.</p> <p>The proposal further includes that, due to close proximity of Gawler Belt, the Light Regional Council has not needed to heavily invest in services and infrastructure for Hewett residents.</p> <p>The proposal includes financial analysis as part of boundary reform investigations and shows confident that proposed inclusion of Areas of Interest will not materially impact Council's ability to deliver infrastructure and services to the Gawler Community.</p> <p>(v) The proposal points that Town of Gawler is seeking to establish a planned, organised to plan and develop its future community and inclusion of Gawler will enable greater integration of hard infrastructure, social infrastructure, open space and connectivity.</p> <p>The proposal mentions that due to proximity, Gawler Belt has been included within Council's considerations relating to stormwater management and has been incorporated into Draft Gawler and Surrounds Stormwater Management Plan.</p>	<p>of 127), the actual proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (iii) separately and needs discussion.</p> <p>26 (c) (iv) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (v) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>(vi) The proposal gives reference to a number of plans such as Climate Emergency Plan, Biodiversity Management Plan, and Gawler Storm Water Management plan, Environmental Management Plan and how these plans at present and in future will ensure the protection of natural environment within the Town of Gawler.</p> <p>(vii) The proposal discusses about various tangible and intangible factors of community of interest in section 2.2 of the proposal and mentions under this principle that due to proximity Gawler Belt residents, they are already considered as a part of the community. The proposal adds a number of examples and data how Gawler Belt residents are already considered as part of Gawler community. Furthermore, Xavier College located in Gawler Belt provides education of many of the Gawler's young people.</p> <p>(viii) The proposal argues the close vicinity of Gawler's Town Centre, The Gawler Administration Centre and The Gawler Civic Centre, Gawler Sports and Community Centre, Gawler Aquatic Centre etc. which have the capacity to be used by the Gawler Belt residents.</p> <p>(ix) This principle addressed with principle (x)</p>	<p>26 (c) (vi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (vii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (viii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This principle focuses on why it is important to include the members from the new areas to have a voice in making decision on local matters and how the Town of Gawler ensure their participation. This principle needs a discussion on above points in the proposal. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of detail discussion on 2.2 Community of Interest and 2.3</p>
--	--	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information from other councils in relation to their view of how the proposal will address the principles 	<p>(x) The proposal provides a comparable table with other Councils including the number of Electors and Councillors and the ratio of representation quota. The proposal points out that the representation quota and consistent with the State-wide average and inclusion of Gawler Belt will not have a material impact on representation requirements in the short-to-mid-term.</p> <p>(xi) The proposal provides a list of area where the Town of Gawler is collaborating with other Councils. The proposal points that the Town of Gawler investigates the opportunities for further collaboration but that has been limited by the conflicting priorities and policies of other Councils.</p> <p>The proposal does not have a response from The Light Regional Council in context of the Section 26 Principles.</p>	<p>Regional Economic Considerations, the actual proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (ix) separately and needs discussion.</p> <p>26 (c) (x) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (xi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>As per guideline, the Commission may request information from affected council in relation to their view.</p>
Community of interest	Refer to 1 above.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A list of sequence dates of key council decisions, announcements, notices, meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. 	The proposal includes The Calendar of Events (page 77) which highlights list of key council decisions, announcements, and notices, public and other meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. The proposal attaches copies of supporting documents (Att 6).	This point addressed satisfactorily.

	<p>The first boundary reform was mentioned in 2014 in the Town of Gawler's 2014-2024 Strategic Directions Report (Att 6: item 1). In the report, 1.1.3 point highlighted that boundary realignment is important to 'reflect the township of Gawler's population and reinforce its sense of community with common interest'. Following this, the 2017-2027 Community Plan also includes the boundary reform as one of strategic direction (Att 6: item 3).</p> <p>The Notice of Motion on 28 May 2019, from Gawler council recommended Concordia, Hewett, Kalbeeba and Gawler Belt as part of boundary reform (Att 6: item 7) and it was published as Town of Gawler's Official Media Release on 29 May 2019 (Att 6: item 8). Letters to the Barossa Council and the Light Regional Council were sent on this matter on 3 June 2019 (Att 6: item 9).</p> <p>Council Boundary Change Proposal of On 10 September 2019 reported further analyses on this matter and included all nine areas in the report (Att 6: item 10). The report acknowledged the opposition from the Light Council for inclusion of Gawler Belt in the Gawler (page 27 of the report) through a statement published in the Bunyip on 29 May 2019 and highlighted that the Light regional Council did not receive any interest from the residents to Gawler Belt regarding shift Councils. The report also provided a high level financial analyses and maps (section 5.3 of the report and Att 4 respectively).</p>	
--	---	--

	<p>The Town of Gawler sent out a letter to the Light Regional Council on 18 September 2019 (Att 6: item 16) regarding their decision to go forward with the boundary realignment and their intention to set up a meeting with all concerned councils.</p> <p>The Light Regional Council wrote a letter on 28 October 2019 where it was pointed that the council was opposed to the boundary reform that their own analysis found the proposal was fundamentally flawed. In the letter the council expressed its intention to put forward an 'Alternative' based 'Structural Reform' (Att 6: item 25).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler reported a more thorough analyses including a detail financial impact analyses for all nine areas of interest on the boundary reform in their council meeting on 26 November 2019 (Att 6: item 28). The report also included a communication and consultation plan with other affected councils and stakeholders.</p> <p>On 16 December 2019, the Light Regional Council wrote to the Town of Gawler regarding the discussion of the Council meeting on 10 December 2019. In the meeting the Light regional Council restate their position regarding boundary changes because: there were strong opposition from the residents of Hewett and Gawler Belt, the proposed changes would dismantle the Light Regional Council and adversely impact the Council's regional economic development and continuation of its leadership role (Att 6: item 32). The Town of Gawler responded to this letter by</p>	
--	---	--

	<p>writing a letter dated 27 December 2019 (Att 6: item 37).</p> <p>On 20 March 2020, the Light Regional Council responded the letter received from the Town of Gawler on 27 February with a request to defer or withdraw its boundary reform aspirations. In the letter the Council mentioned about unprecedented economic slowdown because of US-China Trade Tensions, drought, bush fires and Covid-19 (Att 6: item 41).</p> <p>On 21 April 2020, the Light Regional Council sent a letter to the Town of Gawler with presentation on proposed 'Structural Reform' (Att 6: item 42).</p> <p>On 29 April 2020, the Light Regional Council sent another letter to the Town of Gawler updating the Council on proposed Structural Reform with map (Att 6: item 44). On this issue an article was published in The Bunyip on 6 May 2020 (Att 6: item 45).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler responded to the Light Regional Council's letters on 7 May 2020 requesting a full briefing on its Regional Vision project (Att 6: item 46). The Light Regional Council sent relevant information on its Structural Reform plan on 18 May 2020 (Att 6: item 48).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler asked for assets information to assist with proposal formation on 25 May 2020 (Att 6: item 49) and on 11 June 2020, the Light Regional Council responded to this letter stating that as th</p>	
--	---	--

	<p>eLight Regional Council lodged Stage 1 Proposal to the commission Council would not accede to Town of Gawler's request (Att 6: item 50).</p> <p>On 11 June 2020, the Light Regional Council notified the Town of Gawler that the council sent the stage 1 proposal of the Structural Reform to the Boundary Commission (Att 6: item 50).</p> <p>On 5 August 2020 , The Town of Gawler notified the Light Regional Council on their submission of stage 1 of the proposal to Boundary Commission on 4 December 2020 and updated their progression on stage 2 of the proposal (Att 6: item 61).</p> <p>On 19 August 2020, the Light Regional Council sent an email to the Town of Gawler updating the Council on proposed Structural Reform and sought a clarification on the letter from Regional Development Australia to the Light Regional Council on 13 August 2020 (Att 6: item 63).</p> <p>On 24 August 2020, through Town of Gawler's Official Media Release the Town of Gawler launched a six week consultation period. This consultation process ran through 'Your Voice' survey, two public forums and written submission from the Councils and residents. (Att 6: item 64).</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbers of letters received 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The degree of support shown for and against the submittal of the proposal 	<p>33.7% of survey participants were from the Light Regional Council and among them 38% respondents were from Gawler Belt.</p> <p>Among the respondents from The Light Regional Council, 13% agree with the efficiency of one Council management and 15% support Council's proposal.</p> <p>The Proposal also includes written submission (Att 5) received by the community members. Through Your Voice and email (Att A of Att 5), two residents of Gawler Belt opposed boundary reform showing concern over rate increase. One responder suggested amalgamation of councils to have a large pool of funds to invest in large projects for the community.</p>	
<p>Advantages and Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a balanced representation of the advantages and disadvantages of the proposal Identify stakeholders groups, providing details of the interests and identify of each. 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>In Addition, Light Regional Council voiced that the loss of areas such as Hewett and Gawler Belt will impact on the Council's long term viability through reduced rate oncome.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	<p>In the Overview and Summary of the proposal (page 4 and 87) the advantages and disadvantages of all affected councils has been discussed. The advantages and disadvantages of the community residents are also reflected from the results of the community consultation survey and from the written submissions that have been included in the proposal (Att 4 and Att 5).</p> <p>Whether the Commission will ask the Town of Gawler to revise this section in the proposal is subject to discussion in the Commission Meeting.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Record any significant opposition known to the applicant council and the basis of this. 	N/A	N/A
Any other relevant information	The proposal provides detail data, graphs and information on the extent of the support for the proposal.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
Administrative matters <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maps Name and contact details 	Refer to 1 above. Refer to 1 above.	This point addressed satisfactorily.

5. Area 5: Evanston Park

Requirements (based on the guidelines)	Proposal	Comments
Description of the proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a detailed description of the proposal Clearly identified all councils involved 	Refer to 1 above. The proposal clearly identified the councils involved. The suburb of Evanston Park located within the City of Playford.	'Description of the proposal' addressed satisfactorily.
Section 26 principles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the proposal with reference to the principles set out in section 26 	The proposal provides response of each point of the principle sets out in section 26(1) (c) and how Council's proposal aligns with each principles for Hewett. (i) The proposal highlights that, there are nine properties situated both in the Town of Gawler and the City of Playford. To minimise inefficiency and confusion	26 (c) (i) addressed satisfactorily.

	<p>over services to the communities, the town of Gawler's view that Evanston Park should be included in Gawler.</p> <p>(ii) The proposal discusses for the nine properties boundary changes will streamline development process and would bring greater equity for current Gawler rate payers and increase opportunity for future investments and growth.</p> <p>(iii) This principle addressed with principle (iv)</p> <p>(iv) The proposal provides a list of existing services which has been already used by the Evanston Park community and that has been used by the residents of the Town of Gawler and non-residents living in close proximity of the Council.</p> <p>The proposal includes financial analysis as part of boundary reform investigations and shows confident that proposed inclusion of Areas of Interest will not materially impact Council's ability to deliver infrastructure and services to the Gawler Community.</p> <p>(v) The proposal points that Town of Gawler is seeking to establish a planned, organised to plan and develop its future community and inclusion of Gawler will</p>	<p>26 (c) (ii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This principle focusing on whether the Council have sufficient resource and financial ability to perform all the function efficiently and effectively. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of Attachment 6 of the proposal document: Item 28 of Att 6 has the detail indicative additional staffing and operating analysis from the date of boundary adjustment (page 18 of 127), the actual proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (iii) separately and needs discussion.</p> <p>26 (c) (iv) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (v) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	--	--

	<p>enable greater integration of hard infrastructure, social infrastructure, open space and connectivity.</p> <p>(vi) The proposal gives reference to a number of plans such as Climate Emergency Plan, Biodiversity Management Plan, and Gawler Storm Water Management plan, Environmental Management Plan and how these plans at present and in future will ensure the protection of natural environment within the Town of Gawler. Consistent with Hewett and Gawler Belt, the boundary changes will formalise the already existing community.</p> <p>(vii) The proposal discusses about various tangible and intangible factors of community of interest in section 2.2 of the proposal and mentions under this principle Evanston Park residents they are already considered as a part of the community.</p> <p>(viii) The proposal argues the close vicinity of Gawler's Town Centre, The Gawler Administration Centre and The Gawler Civic Centre, Gawler Sports and Community Centre, Gawler Aquatic Centre etc. which have the capacity to be used by the Evanston Park residents.</p> <p>(ix) This principle addressed with principle (x)</p> <p>(x) The proposal provides a comparable table with other Councils including the number of Electors and Councillors and the ratio of representation quota. The proposal points out that the representation quota and consistent with the State-wide average and inclusion of</p>	<p>26 (c) (vi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (vii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (viii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>Does not addressed 26 (c) (ix) though for only nine properties in question the need for additional resources would be small.</p> <p>26 (c) (x) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	---	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information from other councils in relation to their view of how the proposal will address the principles 	<p>Evanston Park will not have a material impact on representation requirements in the short-to-mid-term.</p> <p>(xi) The proposal provides a list of area where the Town of Gawler is collaborating with other Councils. The proposal points that the Town of Gawler investigates the opportunities for further collaboration but that has been limited by the conflicting priorities and policies of other Councils.</p> <p>The proposal does not have a response from The City of Playford Council in context of the Section 26 Principles.</p>	<p>26 (c) (xi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>As per guideline, the Commission may request information from affected council in relation to their view.</p>
Community of interest	Refer to 1 above.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A list of sequence dates of key council decisions, announcements, notices, meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. 	<p>The proposal includes The Calendar of Events (page 77) which highlights list of key council decisions, announcements, and notices, public and other meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. The proposal attaches copies of supporting documents (Att 6).</p> <p>Council Boundary Change Proposal of On 10 September 2019 reported detail analyses on boundary changes and included all nine areas in the Special Council Meeting Agenda (Att 6: item 10).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler sent out a letter to City of Playford on 18 September 2019 (Att 6: item 14) regarding their decision to go forward with the boundary realignment and their intention to set up a meeting with all</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Numbers of letters received • The degree of support shown for and against the submittal of the proposal 	<p>concerned councils. A letter with further update on boundary changes was sent to City Playford from the Town of Gawler on 19 March 2020 (Att 6: item 40).</p> <p>On April 2020, the Light Regional Council sent letter to City of Playford on the issue of presenting 'Structural Reform; which would have the effect of redistributing the Town of Gawler, to the Barossa Council, the City of Playford and the Light Regional Council (Att 6: item 43).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler asked for assets information to assist with proposal formation on 25 May 2020 (Att 6: item 49).</p> <p>On 5 August 2020 , The Town of Gawler notified the City of Playford on their submission of stage 1 of the proposal to Boundary Commission on 4 December 2020 and updated their progression on stage 2 of the proposal (Att 6: item 60).</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>3.5% of survey participants were from the City of Playford Council and among them 59% respondents were from Evanston Park.</p> <p>Evanston Park was strongly supported (83%) by Town of Gawler residents to be included in the Gawler boundary.</p> <p>The Proposal also includes written submission (Att 5) received by the community members. Through email</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	--	---

	(Att 1 of Att 5), one business of City of Playford strongly supported the boundary reform.	
<p>Advantages and Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a balanced representation of the advantages and disadvantages of the proposal • Identify stakeholders groups, providing details of the interests and identify of each. • Record any significant opposition known to the applicant council and the basis of this. 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>N/A</p>	<p>As the City of Playford Council does not show their concern over inclusion of Evanston Park within the Town of Gawler, the Commission will decide whether it will ask to revise this section in the proposal.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>N/A</p>
Any other relevant information	The proposal provides detail data, graphs and information on the extent of the support for the proposal.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Administrative matters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maps • Name and contact details 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.

6. Area 6: Reid

Requirements (based on the guidelines)	Proposal	Comments
<p>Description of the proposal</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a detailed description of the proposal • Clearly identified all councils involved 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>The proposal clearly identified the councils involved. The suburb of Reid located within the Light Regional Council.</p>	<p>'Description of the proposal' addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<p>Section 26 principles</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the proposal with reference to the principles set out in section 26 	<p>The proposal provides response of each point of the principle sets out in section 26(1) (c) and how Council's proposal aligns with each principles for Hewett.</p> <p>(i) The proposal highlights that only one property will impact by the boundary changes and the Town of Gawler is already in effect undertaking minor operational works in parts of the proposed area. Through this proposal, the council wants to formalise existing arrangements.</p> <p>(ii) The proposal discusses for the one property boundary changes will streamline development process and would bring greater equity for current Gawler rate payers and increase opportunity for future investments and growth.</p>	<p>26 (c) (i) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (ii) addressed satisfactorily.</p>

	<p>(iii) This principle addressed with principle (iv)</p> <p>(iv) The proposal provides a list of existing services which has been already used by the one residents of one affected property in Reid.</p> <p>The proposal includes financial analysis as part of boundary reform investigations and shows confident that proposed inclusion of Areas of Interest will not materially impact Council's ability to deliver infrastructure and services to the Gawler Community.</p> <p>(v) The proposal points that Town of Gawler is seeking to establish a planned, organised to plan and develop its future community and inclusion of Gawler will enable greater integration of hard infrastructure, social infrastructure, open space and connectivity.</p> <p>(vi) The proposal gives reference to a number of plans such as Climate Emergency Plan, Biodiversity Management Plan, and Gawler Storm Water Management plan, Environmental Management Plan and how these plans at present and in future will ensure the protection of natural environment within the Town of Gawler. Consistent with Hewett and</p>	<p>This principle focusing on whether the Council have sufficient resource and financial ability to perform all the function efficiently and effectively. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of Attachment 2 and Attachment 6 of the proposal document: Item 28 of Att 6 has the detail indicative additional staffing and operating analysis from the date of boundary adjustment (page 18 of 127), the actual proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (iii) separately and needs discussion.</p> <p>26 (c) (iv) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (v) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (vi) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>Gawler Belt, the boundary changes will formalise the already existing community.</p> <p>(vii) The proposal discusses about various tangible and intangible factors of community of interest in section 2.2 of the proposal and mentions under this principle Reid residents are already considered as a part of the community.</p> <p>(viii) The proposal argues the close vicinity of Gawler's Town Centre, The Gawler Administration Centre and The Gawler Civic Centre, Gawler Sports and Community Centre, Gawler Aquatic Centre etc. which have the capacity to be used by the Reid residents.</p> <p>(ix) This principle addressed with principle (x)</p> <p>(x) The proposal provides a comparable table with other Councils including the number of Electors and Councillors and the ratio of representation quota. The proposal points out that the representation quota and consistent with the State-wide average and inclusion of Reid will not have a material impact on representation requirements in the short-to-mid-term.</p> <p>(xi) The proposal provides a list of area where the Town of Gawler is collaborating with other Councils. The proposal points that the Town of Gawler investigates the opportunities for further collaboration but that has been limited by the conflicting priorities and policies of other Councils.</p>	<p>26 (c) (vii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (viii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>Does not addressed 26 (c) (ix) separately though for only one affected property in question the need for additional resources would be small.</p> <p>26 (c) (x) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (xi) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	---	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information from other councils in relation to their view of how the proposal will address the principles 	The proposal does not have a response from The Light Regional Council in context of the Section 26 Principles.	As per guideline, the Commission may request information from affected council in relation to their view.
Community of interest	Refer to 1 above.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A list of sequence dates of key council decisions, announcements, notices, meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. 	<p>The proposal includes The Calendar of Events (page 77) which highlights list of key council decisions, announcements, and notices, public and other meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. The proposal attaches copies of supporting documents (Att 6).</p> <p>Council Boundary Change Proposal of On 10 September 2019 reported detail analyses on boundary changes and included all nine areas in the Special Council Meeting Agenda (Att 6: item 10).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler sent out a letter to The Light Regional Council on 18 September 2019 (Att 6: item 16) regarding their decision to go forward with the boundary realignment and their intention to set up a meeting with all concerned councils.</p> <p>On April 2020, the Light Regional Council sent letter to City of Playford on the issue of presenting 'Structural Reform; which would have the effect of redistributing the Town of Gawler, to the Barossa Council, the City of Playford and the Light Regional Council (Att 6: item 43).</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbers of letters received The degree of support shown for and against the submittal of the proposal 	<p>The Town of Gawler asked for assets information to assist with proposal formation on 25 May 2020 (Att 6: item 49) and on 11 June 2020, the Light Regional Council responded to this letter stating that as the Light Regional Council lodged Stage 1 Proposal to the commission Council would not accede to Town of Gawler's request (Att 6: item 50).</p> <p>On 5 August 2020 , The Town of Gawler notified the City of Playford on their submission of stage 1 of the proposal to Boundary Commission on 4 December 2020 and updated their progression on stage 2 of the proposal (Att 6: item 61).</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>33.7% of survey participants were from Light Regional Council.</p> <p>Among the respondents from The Light Regional Council, 13% agree with the efficiency of one Council management and 15% support Council's proposal.</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<p>Advantages and Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a balanced representation of the advantages and disadvantages of the proposal Identify stakeholders groups, providing details of the interests and identify of each. 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	<p>As the Light Regional Council does not show their concern over inclusion of Reid within the Town of Gawler, the Commission will decide whether it will ask to revise this section in the proposal.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Record any significant opposition known to the applicant council and the basis of this. 	N/A	N/A
Any other relevant information	The proposal provides detail data, graphs and information on the extent of the support for the proposal.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
Administrative matters <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maps Name and contact details 	Refer to 1 above. Refer to 1 above.	This point addressed satisfactorily.

7. Area 7: Hillier

Requirements (based on the guidelines)	Proposal	Comments
Description of the proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a detailed description of the proposal Clearly identified all councils involved 	Refer to 1 above. The proposal clearly identified the councils involved. The suburb of Hillier located within the City of Playford.	'Description of the proposal' addressed satisfactorily.
Section 26 principles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the proposal with reference to the principles set out in section 26 	The proposal provides response of each point of the principle sets out in section 26(1) (c) and how Council's proposal aligns with each principles for Hewett. (i) The proposal highlights that, there are 23 properties situated both in the Town of Gawler and the City of Playford. Consistent with its approach for Evanston Park, to minimise inefficiency and confusion over	26 (c) (i) addressed satisfactorily.

	<p>services to the communities, the town of Gawler's view that entire suburb of Hillier should be included in Gawler.</p> <p>(ii) The proposal discusses for the 23 properties boundary changes will streamline development process and would bring greater equity for current Gawler rate payers and increase opportunity for future investments and growth.</p> <p>(iii) This principle addressed with principle (iv)</p> <p>(iv) The proposal provides a list of existing services which has been already used by the Hillier community and that has been used by the residents of the Town of Gawler and non-residents living in close proximity of the Council.</p> <p>The proposal includes financial analysis as part of boundary reform investigations and shows confident that proposed inclusion of Areas of Interest will not materially impact Council's ability to deliver infrastructure and services to the Gawler Community.</p> <p>(v) The proposal points that Town of Gawler is seeking to establish a planned, organised to plan and develop</p>	<p>26 (c) (ii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This principle focusing on whether the Council have sufficient resource and financial ability to perform all the function efficiently and effectively. Although, the required information for this principle was included as a part of Attachment 2 and Attachment 6 of the proposal document: Item 28 of Att 6 has the detail indicative additional staffing and operating analysis from the date of boundary adjustment (page 18 of 127), the actual proposal does not addressed 26 (c) (iii) separately and needs discussion.</p> <p>26 (c) (iv) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (v) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>its future community and inclusion of Gawler will enable greater integration of hard infrastructure, social infrastructure, open space and connectivity.</p> <p>(vi) The proposal gives reference to a number of plans such as Climate Emergency Plan, Biodiversity Management Plan, and Gawler Storm Water Management plan, Environmental Management Plan and how these plans at present and in future will ensure the protection of natural environment within the Town of Gawler. Consistent with Hewett and Gawler Belt, the boundary changes will formalise the already existing community.</p> <p>(vii) The proposal discusses about various tangible and intangible factors of community of interest in section 2.2 of the proposal and mentions under this principle Evanston Park residents they are already considered as a part of the community.</p> <p>(viii) The proposal argues the close vicinity of Gawler's Town Centre, The Gawler Administration Centre and The Gawler Civic Centre, Gawler Sports and Community Centre, Gawler Aquatic Centre etc. which have the capacity to be used by the Evanston Park residents.</p> <p>(ix) This principle addressed with principle (x)</p> <p>(x) The proposal provides a comparable table with other Councils including the number of Electors and Councillors and the ratio of representation quota. The proposal points out that the representation quota and</p>	<p>26 (c) (vi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (vii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (viii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>Does not addressed 26 (c) (ix) separately though for 23 properties in question the need for additional resources would be small.</p> <p>26 (c) (x) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	---	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information from other councils in relation to their view of how the proposal will address the principles 	<p>consistent with the State-wide average and inclusion of Hillier will not have a material impact on representation requirements in the short-to-mid-term.</p> <p>(xi) The proposal provides a list of area where the Town of Gawler is collaborating with other Councils. The proposal points that the Town of Gawler investigates the opportunities for further collaboration but that has been limited by the conflicting priorities and policies of other Councils.</p> <p>The proposal does not have a response from The City of Playford Council in context of the Section 26 Principles.</p>	<p>26 (c) (xi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>As per guideline, the Commission may request information from affected council in relation to their view.</p>
Community of interest	Refer to 1 above.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A list of sequence dates of key council decisions, announcements, notices, meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. 	<p>The proposal includes The Calendar of Events (page 77) which highlights list of key council decisions, announcements, and notices, public and other meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. The proposal attaches copies of supporting documents (Att 6).</p> <p>Council Boundary Change Proposal of On 10 September 2019 reported detail analyses on boundary changes and included all nine areas in the Special Council Meeting Agenda (Att 6: item 10).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler sent out a letter to City of Playford on 18 September 2019 (Att 6: item 14) regarding their decision to go forward with the boundary realignment</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbers of letters received The degree of support shown for and against the submittal of the proposal 	<p>and their intention to set up a meeting with all concerned councils. A letter with further update on boundary changes was sent to City Playford from the Town of Gawler on 19 March 2020 (Att 6: item 40).</p> <p>On April 2020, the Light Regional Council sent letter to City of Playford on the issue of presenting 'Structural Reform; which would have the effect of redistributing the Town of Gawler, to the Barossa Council, the City of Playford and the Light Regional Council (Att 6: item 43).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler asked for assets information to assist with proposal formation from the City of Playford on 25May 2020 (Att 6: item 49).</p> <p>On 5 August 2020 , The Town of Gawler notified the City of Playford on their submission of stage 1 of the proposal to Boundary Commission on 4 December 2020 and updated their progression on stage 2 of the proposal (Att 6: item 60).</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>3.5% of survey participants were from the City of Playford Council.</p> <p>About half of the respondents supported that Hillier should be included in Town of Gawler.</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<p>Advantages and Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a balanced representation of the advantages and 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	

<p>disadvantages of the proposal</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify stakeholders groups, providing details of the interests and identify of each. Record any significant opposition known to the applicant council and the basis of this. 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>N/A</p>	<p>As the City of Playford Council does not show their concern over inclusion of Hillier within the Town of Gawler, the Commission will decide whether it will ask to revise this section in the proposal.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>N/A</p>
Any other relevant information	The proposal provides detail data, graphs and information on the extent of the support for the proposal.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Administrative matters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maps Name and contact details 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.

8. Area 8 and 9: Bibaringa and Uleybury

Requirements (based on the guidelines)	Proposal	Comments
<p>Description of the proposal</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a detailed description of the proposal Clearly identified all councils involved 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>The proposal clearly identified the councils involved. The Town of Gawler contains 4 properties in the suburb</p>	<p>‘Description of the proposal’ addressed satisfactorily.</p>

	<p>of Bibaringa and the reminder of the Bibaringa falls in the City of Playford.</p> <p>The Town of Gawler contains 23 properties in the suburb of Uleybury and the reminder of the Uleybury falls in the City of Playford.</p> <p>The town of Gawler proposes that council boundaries to be re-aligned so that all land situated within Bibaringa and Uleybury be located in the City of Playford.</p>	
<p>Section 26 principles</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the proposal with reference to the principles set out in section 26 	<p>The proposal provides response of each point of the principle sets out in section 26(1) (c) and how Council's proposal aligns with each principles for Hewett.</p> <p>(i) The proposal highlights that, there are four properties within Bibaringa and Uleybury situated both in the Town of Gawler and City of Playford. To minimise inefficiency and confusion over services to the communities, the town of Gawler's view that entire suburb of Bibaringa and Uleybury should be included within City of Playford.</p> <p>(ii) The proposal discusses this re-alignment will streamline development processes and remove frustration of the residents.</p> <p>(iii) The proposal includes financial analysis as part of boundary reform investigations and shows confident that proposed inclusion of Areas of Interest will not materially impact both if the affected Councils' ability to deliver infrastructure and services to the Gawler Community.</p>	<p>26 (c) (i) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (ii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (iii) addressed satisfactorily.</p>

	<p>(iv) The proposal points that formal inclusion of all land situated within Bibaringa and Uleybury within City of Playford will enable an integrated community for those Areas of Interest and will bring efficient economies of scale.</p> <p>(v) The proposal points that the inclusion of all land situated within Bibaringa and Uleybury within City of Playford will help to achieve better utilisation of resources and efficient development management for Council.</p> <p>(vi) The proposal considers that as majority of land within the suburbs of Bibaringa and Uleybury within City of Playford, the remaining parts of these two areas should be included within the Council to achieve a more holistic approach of development.</p> <p>(vii) The majority of lands are already situated within the City of Playford, and the Town of Gawler's view that this change will enable an integrated community.</p> <p>(viii) The proposal argues the City of Playford can provides services and administrative supports to Bibaringa and Uleybury suburbs as an integrated community.</p> <p>(ix) The inclusion of the Areas of Interest within the City of Playford will promote greater participation by all residents of those suburbs.</p>	<p>26 (c) (iv) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (v) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (vi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (vii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (viii) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (ix) addressed satisfactorily.</p>
--	---	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information from other councils in relation to their view of how the proposal will address the principles 	<p>(x) The proposal points that inclusion of the suburbs of Bibaringa and Uleybury within City of Playford will not have a material impact on representation of City of Playford in the short-to-mid-term.</p> <p>(xi) The proposal provides a list of area where the Town of Gawler is collaborating with other Councils. The proposal points that the Town of Gawler investigates the opportunities for further collaboration but that has been limited by the conflicting priorities and policies of other Councils.</p> <p>The proposal does not have a response from The City of Playford Council in context of the Section 26 Principles.</p>	<p>26 (c) (x) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>26 (c) (xi) addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>As per guideline, the Commission may request information from affected council in relation to their view.</p>
Community of interest	Refer to 1 above.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A list of sequence dates of key council decisions, announcements, notices, meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. 	<p>The proposal includes The Calendar of Events (page 77) which highlights list of key council decisions, announcements, and notices, public and other meetings, actions by stakeholder groups, press articles. The proposal attaches copies of supporting documents (Att 6).</p> <p>Council Boundary Change Proposal of On 10 September 2019 reported detail analyses on boundary changes and included all nine areas in the Special Council Meeting Agenda (Att 6: item 10).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler sent out a letter to City of Playford on 18 September 2019 (Att 6: item 14) regarding their</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbers of letters received The degree of support shown for and against the submittal of the proposal 	<p>decision to go forward with the boundary realignment and their intention to set up a meeting with all concerned councils. A letter with further update on boundary changes was sent to City Playford from the Town of Gawler on 19 March 2020 (Att 6: item 40).</p> <p>On April 2020, the Light Regional Council sent letter to City of Playford on the issue of presenting 'Structural Reform; which would have the effect of redistributing the Town of Gawler, to the Barossa Council, the City of Playford and the Light Regional Council (Att 6: item 43).</p> <p>The Town of Gawler asked for assets information to assist with proposal formation from the City of Playford on 25May 2020 (Att 6: item 49).</p> <p>On 5 August 2020 , The Town of Gawler notified the City of Playford on their submission of stage 1 of the proposal to Boundary Commission on 4 December 2020 and updated their progression on stage 2 of the proposal (Att 6: item 60).</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above. 3.5% of survey participants were from the City of Playford Council and among them 14% respondents were from Ulebury. The majority believed Ulebury should be excluded from the Town of Gawler.</p>	<p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p>
<p>Advantages and Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a balanced representation of the 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	

<p>advantages and disadvantages of the proposal</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify stakeholders groups, providing details of the interests and identify of each. Record any significant opposition known to the applicant council and the basis of this. 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>N/A</p>	<p>As the City of Playford Council does not show their concern over inclusion of Bibaringa and Uleybury within their council, the Commission will decide whether it will ask to revise this section in the proposal.</p> <p>This point addressed satisfactorily.</p> <p>N/A</p>
Any other relevant information	The proposal provides detail data, graphs and information on the extent of the support for the proposal.	This point addressed satisfactorily.
<p>Administrative matters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maps Name and contact details 	<p>Refer to 1 above.</p> <p>Refer to 1 above.</p>	This point addressed satisfactorily.